UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,788	01/11/2005	Stephen Roland Day	021500-134	2434
	7590 12/16/200 INGERSOLL & ROO	EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE	BOX 1404	RALIS, STEPHEN J		
ALEAANDKIA	A, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3742		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/16/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/520,788	DAY, STEPHEN ROLAND	
Examiner	Art Unit	
STEPHEN J. RALIS	3742	

	STEPHEN J. RALIS	3742					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 November 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(s)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>20 November 2008</u>. A the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply AMENDMENTS 	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to avoid disr	nissal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	cauce				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor	nsideration and/or search (see NOา		cause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	**	ducing or simplifying tl	ne issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a control of the control of	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		II	DTOL 004)				
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18 and 20-32</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10.	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/TU B HOANG/	/Stephen J Ralis/						
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3742	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3742					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: All arguments and evidence set forth in the instant after-final amendment are well taken, however, the rejections of the claims under at least the prior art of Baldridge in view of Naruke et al. is sustained for the reasons set forth in the final Office action. In addition, the examiner incorporates by reference the "Response to Arguments/Remarks" sections of the prior Office actions mailed 04 May 2007, 25 January 2008 and 20 August 2008.

Continuation of 13. Other: The examiner is unclear to whether the submissions, filed 05 November 2007 and 20 November 2008, are prior art that should be included in an Information Disclosure Statement under 37 CFR 1.98(b) or are evidence that should be submitted in an affidavit/declaration under 37 CFR. § 1.132. Regardless of whether the current prior art rejections are maintained or overcome, the record should be clear to what submissions are made by applicant as well as their pertinence (i.e. prior art or evidence) either in compliance with 37 CFR 1.98(b) or 37 CFR. § 1.132. .